The bill to confirm the title of the felect vestry of Saint-John's parish, in Prince-George's county, is and to three acres of ground, in said parish, endorsed; "By the senate, December 11, 1793: " Read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

"By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk. " By the senate, December 27, 1793: Read the second time and will pass with the proposed amendment. "By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk."

Amendment proposed. At the end of the bill insert as sollows: "Provided, that nothing in this act shall prejudice the right of any person who may think him or herself injured by such survey, and who shall commence an action against the said vestry for the recovery of his or her claim within five years from the passing of this act, nor shall the right of any person be prejudiced, who, at the time of passing this act, is under the age of twenty-one years, non compos mentis, out of the state, or a seme covert, if such person, or his or her heirs or assigns, shall prosecute an action against the said veitry for the recovery of his or her claim within five years after he or she shall arrive at the age of twenty-one years, or become compos mentis, or return to this state, or become uncovert, or die during fuch infancy, infanity, ablence from the state, or coverture."

Which was read the first and second time, agreed to, and the bill ordered to be engrossed. The bill for establishing a company for opening and extending the navigation of the river Pocomoke, and for other purposes, endorsed; "By the senate, December 20, 1793: Read the first time and

" ordered to lie on the table.

" By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk. "By the senate, December 27, 1793: Read the second time and will not pass. " By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk."

And the following resolutions:

 $B_{\mathbf{Y}}$ SENATE, DECEMBER 27, THE 1793.

RESOLVED, That it is the opinion of this general assembly, that the doors of the senate of the

United States, while fitting in a legislative or judicial capacity, should be open.

RESOLVED, That the governor be and he is hereby requested to transmit a copy of the above resolution to the honourable John Henry and Richard Potts, representatives of this state in the congress of the United States.

By order,

W. PERRY, jun. clk.

Which were read.

The engrossed bill No. 57, with the paper bill thereof, which engrossed bill was thus endorsed; " By "the senate, December 28, 1793: Read and assented to.

"By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk." On the second reading the resolutions from the senate for opening the doors of the senate of the United States, the question was put, That the house assent to the first resolution? The year and nays being called for by Mr. Duvall, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A

I R M A T Ι Quynn, ; J. Worthington, Goldsborough, O'Bryon, Whittington, Driver. 11. Ž Hawkins, Duvall, Gordon, Seney, Dennis, $N \cdot E$ TÍV G W. Thomas, Digges, Frazier, T. Wootton, Montgomery, Crabb, Ridgely, of Wm. Daffin, Kilgour, Sprigg, Douglass, Oneale, Tilghman, Ridgely, Hollingsworth, Barnes, Loockerman, Threlkeld, E Harwood, T. Worthington, R. Bond, Purnell, M'Mechen, Johnson, ž Ridout, Howard, Ward, Beatty, Swearingen, Tomlinfon, Freeland, Ewing, Cox, Jarrett, Van Lear, Simkins, 40. King, J. Thomas, Bowie, Prall, So it was determined in the negative.

Sent to the fenate by the clerk.

The bill to continue the acts of affembly therein mentioned, was read the fecond time, paffed, and

fent to the fenate by the clerk.

The clerk of the senate delivers the bill to take away the donations heretofore granted to Washington and Saint-John's colleges, endorfed; "By the fenate, December 26, 1793: Read the first " time and ordered to lie on the table.

" By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk. "By the senate, December 27, 1793: Read the second time and will not pass. "By order, W. PERRY, jun. clk."

The following message:

THE SENATE, DECEMBER 28, 1793.

CENTLEMEN, YOUR immediately originating, on the rejection of the first, a second bill for taking away the funds of Washington and Saint-John's colleges, leaving the appropriation of them to a future asfembly, leads us to infer, that in your opinion our only, at least principal objection to the bill rejected, was founded on what we conceived an improper application of those funds made by that bill. The application did indeed appear to us extremely exceptionable; the giving up the appropriation of those donations, and leaving it a subject of suture contention between the two branches of the legislature, shews, that on reflection you yourselves are not so well satisfied of its propriety.

Lest you should rise, or the public remain ignorant of the reasons which have induced us to 1ejest the former and present bill, we think it proper to detail them in this message, that they may

Itand recorded on our journals.